



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/924,639	08/08/2001	Bhavesh N. Desai	ATT-026AUS	3173
22494	7590	05/10/2005	EXAMINER	
DALY, CROWLEY, MOFFORD & DURKEE, LLP SUITE 101 275 TURNPIKE STREET CANTON, MA 02021-2310			AMINZAY, SHAIMA Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2684	

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/924,639	DESAI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shaima Q. Aminzay	2684	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 March 2005.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-30 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 31 and 32 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 08 August 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The following office action is in response to Amendment, filed March 25, 2005.

Claims 1-15 and 17-32 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action

(a) Patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made

1. Claims 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rasanen (Rasanen et al. U. S. Patent Number 6128322) in view of Oz (Oz et al. U. S. Patent 6,434,141).

Regarding claim 31, Rasanen discloses a method of sending data (see for example, column 3, lines 11-14, and 15-67 continued to column 4, lines 1-48) comprising: dividing a transmit data stream having a first bit rate into multiple data streams (see for example, column 3, lines 26-37, dividing transmission data),

dividing a transmit data stream having a first bit rate into multiple data streams with each of the multiple data streams having a bit rate which is lower than the first bit rate (see for example, column 3, lines 26-37, column 6, lines 5-22, dividing transmission data and bit rate lower than the first bit rate), and transmitting each of the multiple data streams over a [cable] network comprising a plurality of RF channels (see for example, column 1, lines 21-27, column 9, lines 9-21), and wherein at least one of the RF channels serves a plurality of users (see for example, column 9, lines 19-21; Abstract, lines 7-12).

Rasanen does not specifically disclose cable network. However, Rasanen teaches the RF communication network (see for example, column 9, lines 19-21; Abstract, lines 7-12).

In related art (see for example, column 1, lines 14-16), Oz teaches cable network (see for example, column 1, lines 26-48, column 8, lines 43-63).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to combine Oz's cable network connection method with Rasanen's RF network communication to provide a cable network communication system and broadband network such as DSL network providing high speed connections (Oz, see for example, column 5, lines 4-7, lines 34-38, lines 44-48, lines 54-56).

Regarding claim 32, Rasanen in view of Oz teach claim 31, and further, Rasanen teaches the plurality of RF channels is provided having a different

carrier frequency (see for example column 2, lines 3-6, and column 5, lines 49-53).

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 1-15, and 17-30 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed March 25, 2005 with respect to claims 1-15 and 17-32 have been considered.

Arguments with respect to claims 1-15, and 17-30 are moot in view of indicating that they are allowable subject matter.

Arguments with respect to claims 31 and 32 are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.


Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shaima Q. Aminzay whose telephone number is 703-305-8723. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM -5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on 703-308-7745, the primary examiner, Nick Corsaro can be reached on 703-306-5616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Shaima Q. Aminzay

(Examiner)



NICK CORSARO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Nay Maung

(SPE)

Art Unit 2684

April 21, 2005